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– Cross sections through P wave vote map

Supplementary Figures S1, S2 and S3 present clustering results for the P wave tomographic models and can be directly compared to their S wave equivalents in Figures S4, S5 and S6.

– Velocity variations within clusters

The high degree of inter-model agreement shown in the vote maps and cross sections may be misinterpreted to suggest \( V_S \) (or \( V_P \)) uniformity within the clusters. In fact, velocities vary within the fast and slow families, across different anomalies, and with depth in the mantle. To give the reader a sense of these variations, we plot contours for \( m=4 \) on top of the mean velocities across the five S wave tomographic models used here and mask out regions with disagreement across votes (Figures S4, S5 and S6).

– Additional 3D projections

Additional projections of the contours in the vote map without annotations are shown in Figure S7 and in the supplementary videos. The supplied videos show isosurfaces of the clustering results (vote counts) for \( V_S \) models. Surface topography is projected on the core-mantle boundary for reference.
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- Spinning Earth Final Models slow Consensus: Contour for $m = 5$ (consensus) for the slow cluster is shown in red.
- Spinning Earth Final Models slow Majority: Contour for $m = 5$ (consensus) for the slow cluster is shown in red and $m = 3$ (majority) is shown in transparent yellow.
- Spinning Earth Final Models neutral Consensus: Contour for $m = 5$ (consensus) for the fast cluster is shown in dark grey.
- Spinning Earth Final Models neutral Majority: Contour for $m = 5$ (consensus) for the fast cluster is shown in grey and $m = 3$ is shown in transparent grey.
- Spinning Earth Final Models fast Consensus: Contour for $m = 5$ (consensus) for the fast cluster is shown in blue.
- Spinning Earth Final Models fast Majority: Contour for $m = 5$ (consensus) for the fast cluster is shown in blue and $m = 3$ (majority) is shown in transparent cyan.

- Vote map results

Results of the cluster analysis, which are plotted the vote maps, are shared in two text files included in the Supporting Information, named clustervotes_Vs_CottaarLekic2016.txt and clustervotes_Vp_CottaarLekic2016.txt. The format of these files is: longitude (deg), latitude (deg), depth (km), # votes for slow, # votes for neutral, # votes for fast, color index. The depths correspond to the centre of a 300 km wide depth window. The last column corresponds to the colormap in Figure 1 and the corresponding colorscales are provided in text format (colortriangle_CottaarLekic2016.txt) and color palette table (colortriangle_GMT_CottaarLekic2016.cpt).

Acknowledgements

We thank Lauren Waszek for providing the CPT colormap.
References


Figure S1. Cross sections across the Pacific LLSVP showing the vote results for $V_p$ models. Map view shows clustering results at a depth of 2700 km. Cross sections (aA) and (bB) can be directly compared to those in Figure 7 of Frost & Rost (2014) and the solid black lines represent the boundary as constrained by their P wave data set, while the dashed lines are extrapolated to shallower depths. Cross section (cC) runs west to east across the LLSVP, illustrating the Western Anomaly, the Superswell anomaly and the Eastern anomaly. Black lines represent the boundaries as modeled by Takeuchi et al. (2008). Cross section (dD) runs north-south across the Superswell anomaly. The color scale is shown in Figure 1 of the main paper.
Figure S2. Cross sections through the African LLSVP showing the vote results for $V_P$ models. Map view shows clustering results at a depth of 2700 km. Cross section (aA) runs south-to-north across the ‘toe’ of the African LLSVP. The solid line represents the northern boundary of the LLSVP as modeled by Sun et al. (2009) while the two dashed lines bound the approximate region of the studies of Ni et al. (2005); To et al. (2005); Sun et al. (2009); Cottaar & Romanowicz (2013). Cross section (bB) runs south-to-north across the West African anomaly to the Iceland anomaly. Cross section (cC) crosses the length of the anomaly from the West African anomaly across South Africa and beneath the Indian Ocean. Cross section (dD), running from the southwest to the northeast across eastern Africa, shows the anomalous nature of the East African anomaly: it tilts towards the northeast, creating an overhang. The color scale is shown in Figure 1 of the main paper.
**Figure S3.** Cross sections across the various meso-scale features showing the vote results for $V_P$ models. Map view shows clustering results at a depth of 2700 km. Perm anomaly is shown in (aA) and (bB). The black dashed lines show the outline of the cylindrical model proposed in Lekic et al. (2012). (cC) and (dD) show the South Pacific Anomaly and (eE) and (fF) the Kamchatka Anomaly. The color scale is shown in Figure 1 of the main paper.
**Figure S4.** Cross sections across the Pacific LLSVP showing the mean velocity in $V_S$ models and the contours for $m=4$ (slow in red, neutral in yellow, and fast in blue). Regions lacking strong agreement ($m<4$) for all three clusters are masked in grey. Map view shows clustering results at a depth of 2700 km. Cross sections (aA) and (bB) can be directly compared to those in Figure 7 of Frost & Rost (2014) and the solid black lines represent the boundary as constrained by their P wave data set, while the dashed lines are extrapolated to shallower depths. Cross section (cC) runs west to east across the LLSVP, illustrating the Western Anomaly, the Superswell anomaly and the Eastern anomaly. Black lines represent the boundaries as modeled by Takeuchi et al. (2008). Cross section (dD) runs north-south across the Superswell anomaly.
Figure S5. Cross sections through the African LLSVP showing the mean velocity in $V_S$ models and the contours for $m=4$ (slow in red, neutral in yellow, and fast in blue). Regions lacking strong agreement ($m<4$) for all three clusters are masked in grey. Map view shows clustering results at a depth of 2700 km. Cross section (aA) runs south-to-north across the ‘toe’ of the African LLSVP. The solid line represents the northern boundary of the LLSVP as modeled by Sun et al. (2009) while the two dashed lines bound the approximate region of the studies of Ni et al. (2005), To et al. (2005), Sun et al. (2009), and Cottaar & Romanowicz (2013). Cross section (bB) runs south-to-north across the West African anomaly to the Iceland anomaly. Cross section (cC) crosses the length of the anomaly from the West African anomaly across South Africa and beneath the Indian Ocean. Cross section (dD), running from the southwest to the northeast across eastern Africa, shows the anomalous nature of the East African anomaly: it tilts towards the northeast, creating an overhang.
Figure S6. Cross sections across the various meso-scale features showing the mean velocity in $V_S$ models and the contours for $m=4$ (slow in red, neutral in yellow, and fast in blue). Regions lacking strong agreement ($m<4$) for all three clusters are masked in grey. Map view shows clustering results at a depth of 2700 km. Perm anomaly is shown in (aA) and (bB). The black dashed lines show the outline of the cylindrical model proposed in Lekic et al. (2012). (cC) and (dD) show the South Pacific Anomaly and (eE) and (fF) the Kamchatka Anomaly.
Figure S7. Additional snapshots of 3D projection showing the votes for the slow cluster for majority ($m = 3$, transparent yellow) and for consensus ($m = 5$, red) without annotations.