

#### Earth's Power Budget: Significance of Radiogenic Heating





#### Global heat flux



~38k data of various quality, Q correlated with geology, 1/2 space cooling model for young (<65Ma) seafloor

Davies and Davies, Solid Earth, 2010



# Global heat flux



observed sea floor flattening in agedepth curve likely due to small scale convection and incomplete thermal contraction. Favors plate model.



observed heatflow deficit in young ocean floor due to hydrothermal circulation. Estimated deficit = 8 TW

Hasterok, EPSL, 2013a,b











# Sources of Heat



Lay, Hernlund, and Buffett, Nature Geoscience, 2008



- Few of these numbers have error bars
- Higher thermal conductivity values for the core now favor higher Qcmb
- Distribution and types of heat sources in the mantle strongly influence the dynamics and evolution and may change through time

#### **Bottom Heated vs Internal Heated**







# **Convection with mixed-mode heating**



- Viscously stratified convection models (black=spherical; dashed line H=20)
  - mean temperature more stratified and planform becomes time-dependent

O'Farrell etal, GJI, 2013



#### Distribution of heat producing elements

- [U] of 1 ppb ~ 1 TW (assuming Th/U and K/U ratios of 4 and  $2x10^4$ )
- 20 ppb in [U]<sub>BSE</sub> which is concentration in a volume size of mantle
- Question: what is the distribution in the present day mantle?
  - 50% in continental crust, rest in mantle
  - [U]<sub>cc</sub> = 1.4 ppm (because volume of cont crust ~ 1% mantle)
  - [U]<sub>DMM</sub> = 2-7 ppb (based on [U] of fresh MORB and partitioning)
  - volume of DMM is unknown but large upper mantle or most of mantle
- Conclusion: there must be a hidden reservoir that is highly enriched



# Distribution of heat producing elements

One idea is store radiogenic elements in primordial chemically dense material



Tackley, Science, 2000 (after Becker et al., EPSL, 1999) Tackley, Science, 2000 (after Kellogg et al., Science, 1999)

- neutrally buoyant blobs: compositional density is just large enough to offset temperature
- 'stealth' layer: compositional density is just large enough to offset excess temperature
- These only work for the present day since compositional density changes little over time, but radiogenic heating is exponentially decaying (x5 in 4.5 Gyr)





#### Distribution of heat producing elements

- Estimate [U] for various geochemical reservoirs
  - differentiation has lead to enrichment and depletion of radiogenic elements



Tackley, Science, 2000





 nominal thermal history with constant viscosity (violates T-dep viscosity which allows the system to selfregulate)

- Method: use boundary layer theory to predict convective heat flow
- Constraints:
  - T\_mantle present day = 1600K
  - Q\_mantle present day = 36 TW
  - B-field for 3.5 Gyrs (Q\_cmb)
  - T\_mantle(t) < solidus for all t</li>
  - BSE complement of HPE





 warming history (violates BSE model) initially cold start to offset very high heat production rates early on. High Q\_rad delays secular cooling.

- Method: use boundary layer theory to predict convective heat flow
- Constraints:
  - T\_mantle present day = 1600K
  - Q\_mantle present day = 36 TW
  - B-field for 3.5 Gyrs (Q\_cmb)
  - T\_mantle(t) < solidus for all t</li>
  - BSE complement of HPE





 cooling history (violates Q<sub>mantle</sub>) Mantle cools quickly such that present day heat flow is ~30% observed value

- Method: use boundary layer theory to predict convective heat flow
- Constraints:
  - T\_mantle present day = 1600K
  - Q\_mantle present day = 36 TW
  - B-field for 3.5 Gyrs (Q\_cmb)
  - T\_mantle(t) < solidus for all t</li>
  - BSE complement of HPE





• Early thermal catastrophy (violates T<sub>m</sub>(t))

with ~50% of present day Q being from secular cooling, rate of heat loss extrapolated back in time requires high mantle temps

- Method: use boundary layer theory to predict convective heat flow
- Constraints:
  - T\_mantle present day = 1600K
  - Q\_mantle present day = 36 TW
  - B-field for 3.5 Gyrs (Q\_cmb)
  - T\_mantle(t) < solidus for all t</li>
  - BSE complement of HPE





upper mantle OK, lower mantle too hot

 large internal boundary layer would be seismically observable

- Method: use boundary layer theory to predict convective heat flow
- Constraints:
  - T\_mantle present day = 1600K
  - Q\_mantle present day = 36 TW
  - B-field for 3.5 Gyrs (Q\_cmb)
  - T\_mantle(t) < solidus for all t</li>
  - BSE complement of HPE





lower mantle OK, upper mantle too cold

same problem with internal TBL

- Method: use boundary layer theory to predict convective heat flow
- Constraints:
  - T\_mantle present day = 1600K
  - Q\_mantle present day = 36 TW
  - B-field for 3.5 Gyrs (Q\_cmb)
  - T\_mantle(t) < solidus for all t</li>
  - BSE complement of HPE



#### Age of the inner core

$$\rho_{ic}LV_{ic} = \int_{t_0}^{now} (Q_{cmb}(t) - \rho c_p V_c(t) \frac{dT}{dt} - V_c(t)H(t) - \Phi_{ohmic})dt$$

- We want to find  $t_0$ , so just need to have a thermal history model of the core
- Adjust for secular cooling of core, radiogenic heating of core, and B-field
- Ohmic dissipation is about 0.1 TW and likely < 0.5 TW (Buffett, *GRL*, 2002)
- Conclusion: very difficult to reconcile IC older than 1 Gyr (pre-2010) and now 0.5 Gyr , i.e. "the New Core Paradox" (Olson, Science, 2013)



#### Age of the inner core

- Observation: Earth's B-field is > 3 Gyr
- Problem: generating B-field is inefficient without IC XL-ization
  - leads to very high temperatures in early core
  - would imply partially molten lower mantle (maybe this is correct)
  - maybe needs to be revisited using updated values



Buffett, GRL, 2002



#### Conclusions

- If BSE model is correct and high Qcmb are correct, "budget crisis" is solved
- New crisis arises for young inner core and generating B-field at least 3.5 Gyrs
- High (super-solidus?) temperatures in deep Earth are possible before 3 Gyrs
- Distribution of HPEs has a 1st order control on Earth's thermochemical evolution and the style of mantle convection







Thank you! Questions??