The composition of peridotites and their minerals: a laser-ablation ICP–MS study
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Abstract

We have analyzed the major- and trace-element contents of minerals and whole rocks for two peridotite xenoliths from SE Australia (one fertile, the other infertile) using both solution chemistry (ICP–MS) and microbeam techniques (EMP, LA–ICP–MS). The incompatible lithophile trace elements are contained mainly in solid mineral phases at upper-mantle conditions, with no significant concentrations occurring on grain boundaries or in fluid inclusions. No exotic accessory minerals are required hosts for elements such as Nb and Ta. Mass balance is achieved for the highly incompatible trace elements only with inclusion of data for precursor amphibole, now present as pockets of alkali-, alumina- and silica-rich glass containing euhedral olivine, clinopyroxene, and spinel daughter crystals. The glass in these pockets is enriched in incompatible trace elements, particularly Ba, Nb and Ta, reflecting the preferential partitioning of these elements into amphibole at upper-mantle conditions. Orthopyroxene–clinopyroxene partition coefficients are similar for most incompatible elements (except Ti) between the two peridotites, suggesting that compositional differences do not significantly affect subsolidus partitioning for these elements. Comparison of our data with those from the literature reveals large variations in $D_{\text{opx/cpx}}$ for all incompatible trace elements. For the REE, Zr (Hf) and Sr, these variations correlate with reciprocal equilibration temperature, reflecting the substitution of these elements for Ca in the M2 site. In contrast, poor correlation exists for V and no correlations exist for Ti and Nb between $D_{\text{opx/cpx}}$ and temperature, which may reflect their substitution into multiple sites in the pyroxene structure and the influence of mineral composition on their partitioning. These features highlight the need for caution in inferring crystal–liquid $D$-values from subsolidus partitioning relations. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.
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1. Introduction

Studies of the abundance and distribution of elements in peridotites and their constituent minerals are important for understanding the chemical evolution and composition of the lithospheric mantle, as well as other parts of the upper mantle and the silicate earth. Such studies allow us to characterize the subsolidus distribution of elements between co-existing minerals and to constrain the composition of
the upper mantle. When combined with thermobarometric data, the subsolidus distribution data provide further understanding of the temperature and pressure dependence of element partitioning between upper-mantle phases. The pioneering studies of Frey [1], Nagasawa et al. [2], Frey and Green [3], and Shimizu [4] established the first-order distribution of major and trace elements between peridotite minerals under upper-mantle conditions. Their work established that the bulk of the rare-earth elements (REE) are hosted in clinopyroxene and garnet (when present) with orthopyroxene playing a minor role in the REE budget. Subsequent microbeam studies by Shimizu and colleagues [5–9] provided new insights into the relative distribution of other incompatible trace elements, in addition to the REE, between silicate minerals in mantle peridotites. We have now reached a new

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>ol</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>opx</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>cpx</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>sp</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>Bulk rock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>40.79</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>55.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>52.79</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>45.10</td>
<td>45.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>49.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>32.62</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg#</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-pyroxene temperature: 1030°C [17] or 1100°C [18]

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>ol</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>opx</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>cpx</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>sp</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>Bulk rock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>40.68</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>55.52</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>53.29</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>44.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>31.39</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>36.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>49.76</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>33.61</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>45.54</td>
<td>44.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg#</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-pyroxene temperature: 1010°C [17] or 1050°C [18]

*Values represent 1σ standard deviation of multiple analyses, where n = number of analyses.

*Glass is an amphibole breakdown glass; the analysis reported here is the average of 4 different patches, where each patch is homogeneous and the differences between patches can be as much as 10 wt% for all elements, except K$_2$O which can differ by up to 15 wt% between different breakdown patches (see Appendix A).

*Modal mineralogies are calculated by least-squares mixing from whole-rock and mineral major-element compositions, except for glass, which was determined by least-squares mixing of trace elements. Oxides are given in wt%, Mg# = atomic ratio of 100 × Mg/(Mg + Fe).
threshold in trace-element studies on peridotites as a result of analytical advances in inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS), which is capable of rapid and precise, multi-element analyses spanning large concentration ranges and achieving low detection limits.

We present trace-element concentrations for bulk rocks and minerals in two peridotite xenoliths from southeastern Australia. The samples were chosen as representatives of fertile lherzolite and depleted harzburgite respectively. The distribution of 27 incompatible elements between the coexisting minerals, coupled with the bulk-rock data, allow us to make quantitative mass-balance calculations and evaluate the significance of grain boundaries and fluid inclusions in the incompatible-element budget of the upper mantle. Our data also bear on the debate regarding the mineralogical host of high-field-strength elements (HFSE) in the upper mantle (i.e., [10–12]).

2. Samples

The two peridotite xenoliths come from basanitic lavas from SE Australia and have been the subject of previous geochemical and isotopic investigations [13–15]. These samples have been chosen to represent and encompass the compositional spectrum seen in peridotite xenoliths [16]. One is a fertile lherzolite (2905), which has lost only a small amount of melt (i.e., it has 3.1% Al₂O₃ and 2.8% CaO) and retains a light rare-earth element (LREE) depletion, whereas the other is a refractory harzburgite (84-402) with a depleted major-element composition (1.3% Al₂O₃ and 0.9% CaO) that reflects the loss of a large amount of basaltic melt. Like most refractory harzburgites, this sample is LREE-enriched, indicative of metasomatic overprinting of the original depleted REE pattern [3]. Extensive electron probe analyses carried out in both thin sections and mineral mounts have established the compositional homogeneity of the minerals in these peridotites on both inter- and intra-grain scales (Table 1). In addition, previous isotopic investigations [15] document isotopic equilibration or near-equilibration between orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene and whole rock for the Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd systems. The lherzolite, from Mount Noorat, Victoria, has a granular texture with a well-developed foliation defined by spinels in thin section, and is comprised of olivine, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, spinel and rare amphibole breakdown products, which are described in detail below ([13]; modal mineralogy is given in Table 1). The harzburgite, from Mount Porndon, Victoria, has a protogranular texture composed of olivine, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, and spinel. No amphibole has been observed in this sample, although thin selvages of glass, fluid inclusions and tiny crystallites occur on some grain boundaries and may be the dispersed remnants of amphibole breakdown products. Equilibration temperatures, calculated using two-pyroxene geothermometry, range between 1010° and 1100°C and are indistinguishable between the two samples (Table 1).

The amphibole breakdown products in the lherzolite occur as glass pockets (1–2 mm across) containing small (<20 μm), euhedral olivine, clinopyroxene, and spinel daughter crystals (Fig. 1). Similar occurrences have been previously described by Dasch and Green [13] and are comparable to others in SE Australian peridotites reported by Frey and Green [3] and Yaxley et al. [19] and to those described in xenoliths from the Eifel, Germany, by Stosch and Seck [20] and Yemen by Chazot et al. [21]. In some of these other cases relict amphibole remains within the center of the glass patches.

The glasses are enriched in Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and alkalis (Table 1) and have compositional affinities to olivine-hosted melt inclusions found in peridotite xenoliths worldwide [22]. Limited but distinct compositional differences occur from patch to patch (see footnote to Table 1 and Appendix A) and reflect variable extent of subsequent/concurrent reaction of the silicate melt with the surrounding mineral phases, as has been described elsewhere [19,20]. The occurrence of large vesicles within the glass patches attest to the exsolution of a vapor phase and accompanying expulsion of glass and vapor along grain boundaries. The primary amphibole composition can be reconstructed from the measured glass plus secondary phases compositions (see Appendix A). To do this we have employed the reaction stoichiometry that has been determined independently by both Chazot et al. and Yaxley et al. for the incongruent amphibole melting reaction in xenoliths from SE Australia and Yemen, i.e. (1.00) pargasitic amphibole ⇄...
Fig. 1. Photomicrograph of amphibole breakdown products seen in peridotite sample 2905 under plane-polarized light (upper) and crossed nicols (lower). The field of view is ~250 μm. The glass is compositionally homogeneous in the melt pocket, but can vary in its composition by up to ~10% for most elements and up to 15% in the alkali metals between different melt pockets.

Table 2
ICP–MS operating conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Solution nebulisation</th>
<th>Laser ablation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward (reflected) power (watt)</td>
<td>1350 (&lt; 2)</td>
<td>1200 (&lt; 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas flows (l/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cool</td>
<td>14 (Ar)</td>
<td>14 (Ar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auxiliary</td>
<td>0.7 (Ar)</td>
<td>0.7 (Ar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carrier</td>
<td>0.9 (Ar)</td>
<td>0.3 (He) and 0.9 (Ar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector mode</td>
<td>pulse counting</td>
<td>pulse counting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweep mode</td>
<td>peak hopping</td>
<td>peak hopping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settle time (ms)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwell time (ms)</td>
<td>2.56–41</td>
<td>25–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Points per peak</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis intervals</td>
<td>4 × 60 s replicates</td>
<td>60 s (peak), 120 s (background)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(0.40) glass + (0.35) clinopyroxene + (0.2) olivine + (0.05) spinel + vapor.

3. Analytical techniques

Bulk-rock compositions were determined by X-ray fluorescence and ICP–MS (Tables 1–4) for major and trace elements, respectively. The major-element compositions of minerals were determined by electron microprobe [23] using a 25 keV accelerating voltage and a 40 nA beam current, which yields a focused beam that is 5 μm wide. The glass was analyzed using a beam current of 5 nA, accelerating voltage of 15 keV, and a defocused beam in order to minimize Na volatilization.

Table 3

Compositions of peridotite minerals determined by solution nebulization and laser ICP–MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations in ppm (kg/g):

- Li 1.0i 0.72 1.40 1.70 0.96 1.05 1.25 1.31
- Sc <0.15 2.1 3.5 ± 0.1i 3.9 14.0 ± 0.1 60.2 ± 0.7 64.0 31.2
- Ti 720 720 24.0 ± 0.4 23.6 595 ± 8 568 2787 ± 40 2704 13,217
- V 381 346 2.6 3.0 74 75 251 244 175
- Ga 66.2 46.0 0.06 0.035 2.70 2.24 3.62 2.97
- Rb 163 328 57 23 47 5.2 83 7.6 20,600
- Sr <2 <1 25.4 120 ± 17 129 61,000 ± 200 57,900 226,000
- Y <1 200 39 ± 1 45 948 ± 5 1,071 18,400 ± 100 21,100 21,200
- Zr 34 716 19.6 ± 0.3 118 1,460 ± 20 1,596 30,100 ± 300 33,400 53,800
- Nb <3 85 1.0 ± 0.2 1.8 9.9 ± 0.4 6.4 214 ± 1 229 24,700
- Cs 1.1 0.7 0.1 0.5 310
- Ba <0.5 312 <0.25 112.2 1.1–69.2 59 56.4 ± 1.7 182 371,000
- La <0.4 11.9 <0.2 1.4 1.3 ± 0.2 1.4 762 774 3,340
- Ce <0.15 32.7 <0.1 4.7 7.4 ± 0.3 10.1 2,840 ± 3 2,920 8,660
- Nd <0.6 16.6 <0.35 1.7 17.3 ± 0.2 19.8 3,680 ± 22 3,690 6,690
- Sm <0.7 11.0 <0.4 14.7 ± 0.2 19.0 1,540 ± 2 1,610 2,110
- Eu <0.3 4.1 <0.15 0.2 7.5 ± 0.4 9.1 642 ± 2 645 900
- Cd <0.6 15.7 1.0 ± 0.5 1.4 48 ± 1 41.8 2,450 ± 4 2,520 2,830
- Dy <0.35 32.5 3.1 ± 0.2 2.6 111 ± 1 116 3,135 ± 1 3,280 3,360
- Er <0.4 23.9 6.8 ± 0.3 6.5 129 ± 2 137 2,016 ± 2 2,140 2,050
- Yb <0.4 14.6 18.2 ± 0.7 17.6 208 ± 2 215 1,820 ± 15 1900 1,950
- Lu 2.0 5.1 40.8 280
- Hf <0.3 19.6 0.3 2.6 43.8 ± 0.2 45.0 988 ± 1 1,020 1,240
- Ta 0.4 58.3 <0.05 6.5 0.7 ± 0.2 0.7 34.9 ± 0.2 41.0 1,190
- Pb <2.0 <1.1 2.7–45.2 10.5 ± 0.7 370
- Th <0.1 5.0 <0.03 0.8 0.16 ± 0.08 0.2 28.8 ± 3.3 30.7 231
- U <0.1 1.3 <0.03 0.4 0.18 ± 0.07 1.0 9.8 ± 0.9 10.4 77

Laser data for olivine and spinel determined at 50 Hz pulse repetition rate, remaining data collected at 10 Hz.

*Glass is an amphibole breakdown glass; the value reported here is the average of analyses of 4 different patches, where each patch is homogeneous and the differences between the patches can be as much as 10% relative for the major elements, except K2O which is nearer 20%. Multiple analyses also demonstrate the trace elements to be homogeneous within the individual glass patches but significantly different between patches, particularly for the most highly incompatible elements (see Appendix A). Zr and Nb values for spinel (solidus) are semi-quantitative only, as Cr2O3 interference corrections are large (see text).

Bracketed value is the number of LA–ICP–MS determinations performed, with the second number, where present, giving number of determinations for Ti, Zr, Nb, Ce, Yb, Hf and Ta.

†Quoted ± uncertainties are 1 standard deviation of multiple determinations. Note that a range of values is given in instances where repeat analyses gave disparate values (e.g., Ba in orthopyroxene).
Table 4
Compositions of peridotite minerals determined by solution nebulization and LA–ICP–MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Laser sp</th>
<th>Sln. sp</th>
<th>Laser sp ol (2, 3)b</th>
<th>Sln. ol</th>
<th>Laser sp ol ox (1, 2)</th>
<th>Sln. ox</th>
<th>Laser sp ol ox (2)</th>
<th>Sln. ox</th>
<th>NIST glass 612*</th>
<th>Signal intensity (counts/sec/ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.05c</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>8,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>89.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>615 ± 3</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>1572 ± 50</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>231 ± 4</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.081 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations in ppm (g/g):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Laser sp</th>
<th>Sln. sp</th>
<th>Laser sp ol (2, 3)b</th>
<th>Sln. ol</th>
<th>Laser sp ol ox (1, 2)</th>
<th>Sln. ox</th>
<th>Laser sp ol ox (2)</th>
<th>Sln. ox</th>
<th>NIST glass 612*</th>
<th>Signal intensity (counts/sec/ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.05c</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>8,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>89.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>615 ± 3</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>1572 ± 50</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>231 ± 4</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.081 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations in ppb (ng/g):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Laser sp</th>
<th>Sln. sp</th>
<th>Laser sp ol (2, 3)b</th>
<th>Sln. ol</th>
<th>Laser sp ol ox (1, 2)</th>
<th>Sln. ox</th>
<th>Laser sp ol ox (2)</th>
<th>Sln. ox</th>
<th>NIST glass 612*</th>
<th>Signal intensity (counts/sec/ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.05c</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>8,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>89.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>615 ± 3</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>1572 ± 50</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>231 ± 4</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.081 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All laser data collected at 10 Hz, except cpx (5 Hz).

* NIST glass 612 values reported here are those adopted as the laser reference standard, and the signal intensities refer to the average intensities per ppm obtained for the NIST 612 glass, ablating at 10 Hz with a 200 μm spot. Zr and Nb values for spinel (italic) are semi-quantitative only, as CaAr interference corrections are large (see text).

Bracketed value is the number of LA–ICP–MS determinations performed, with the second number, where present, giving number of determinations for Ti, Zr, Nb, Ce, Yb, Hf and Ta.

Quoted ± uncertainties are 1 standard deviation of multiple determinations. Note that a range of values is given in instances where repeat analyses gave disparate values (e.g., Ba in orthopyroxene).
Mineral and glass trace-element compositions were determined by ICP–MS solution chemistry and laser ablation (LA) methods [24–26]. Operating conditions employed for the Fisons PQ2 STE ICP–MS instrument at the Research School of Earth Sciences, ANU, are listed in Table 2.

For solution analyses, aliquots of minerals were hand-picked to optical purity then leached in an ultrasonic bath using the following protocol: 3 N HCl for 30 min, 5% HF for 20 min and 3 N HCl for 30 min, followed by distilled water for 30 min. The purified and leached mineral separates (20–40 mg aliquots) and 100 mg aliquots of the bulk-rock powder were dissolved in a mixture of ultrapure, concentrated HCl–HNO3 and then spiked with a mixed multi-element/isotope internal standard solution prior to analysis [24]. Complete dissolution of spinel was achieved by first powdering the purified separates in an agate mortar and pestle, then completely dissolving this powder in screw-top Teflon beakers (as described above), by alternating between the hot-plate and an ultrasonic bath over a period of ~7 days. Polished grain mounts for each sample, and a polished thin section (for the glass analyses) were analyzed by LA–ICP–MS.

The LA–ICP–MS system at ANU incorporates an ArF (193 nm, 20 ns pulse width) excimer laser and custom-built sample cell and transport system. The details of this system are described in a separate publication [25], and here we only present information pertinent to the present experiment. The excimer laser illuminates a mask that is imaged at aplanatic doublet lens of 150 mm focal length. This imaging arrangement produces a top-hat beam profile that results in sharp-edged ablation holes of set dimensions (from < 20 μm to > 200 μm), that are changed by varying the size of the mask. Thus changing spots size is independent of the energy density delivered to the sample surface, thereby maintaining constant ablation conditions. Typical laser output energy of 100 mJ/pulse in a beam of approximately 20 × 8 mm, results in a measured energy density on the sample of the order of 10 J/cm², after passage through the mask and beam delivery optics.

In this study, laser sampling was performed in a He atmosphere using a 200 μm spot size for minerals, and a 50 μm spot size for glasses. Uniform ablation of 0.1 μm/pulse is achieved across the ablation site [26] with the specified energy density. At the routine laser pulse repetition rates used in this study of 5 and 10 Hz the ablation rate is ~0.5 and ~1.0 μm/s, respectively. The resulting pits have small aspect ratios (30 or 60 μm deep/200 μm wide) and are therefore not subject to adverse elemental fractionation [26]. In order to extend detection limits, the laser repetition rate was increased to 50 Hz for additional olivine and spinel analyses in sample 2905.

Data acquisition was performed by peak hopping (one point per isotope) in pulse counting mode, acquiring individual intensity data for each analyte isotope during each mass spectrometer sweep (~1 s). A total of 120 sweeps (120 s), comprising a gas background interval of 60 sweeps followed by an ablation interval of 60 sweeps were performed for each analysis. Signal intensities for the high-mass elements were typically in the range 15 000–80 000 counts s⁻¹ ppm⁻¹ (Table 4), and vary as a function of isotope abundance, differential ionization of the elements in the ICP, and increased sensitivity at higher masses. The sensitivities for the high-mass analytes equate to a laser sampling and ICP–MS transmission efficiency of the order of ~1 ion detected per 10 000 ablated.

Data reduction followed a protocol essentially identical to that outlined by Longerich et al. [27], except for the estimation of single acquisition detection limits, which were calculated from the variance of the mean background count rate obtained by integrating the background intervals from each analysis and the ensuing analysis (120 sweeps total background). Limits of detection are typically in the range 0.2–2 ppb for most high-mass elements (> 80 amu), and between 1 and 100 ppb for lower-mass elements. With increased laser repetition rates (up to 50 Hz), detection limits have been reduced to as low as 30 ppt for some elements (see Table 3).

Analyte concentrations were determined using the mean background intensities of each isotope and ratioing these corrected intensities to that of the internal standard isotope for each mass spectrometer sweep. A total of ~60 replicate measurements was thereby acquired for each analyte isotope in each analysis, with the internal standard correcting for
variation in instrument and ablation yield. An instrumental drift correction (external standardization) was also applied by applying a linear correction to measured analyte intensities between repeat measurements of the NIST 612 glass. $^{43}\text{Ca}$ has been routinely employed as the internal standard, based on electron microprobe measurements of CaO contents in the minerals and glasses. The only exception occurs in the case of spinel, for which we employed $^{49}\text{Ti}$ as the internal standard based on Ti concentrations measured by solution nebulization ICP–MS.

The LA–ICP–MS spectra were obtained with unit mass resolution. They require few if any interference corrections, due to very low molecular and doubly charged species production. In this study ThO$^+$ was maintained below 0.5% and Ba$^{2+}$/Ba$^+$ below 0.1%, both limits being an order of magnitude lower than encountered during solution–ICP–MS analysis. However, the high sensitivity of our instrument makes interferences from less familiar molecular species such as nitrides and argides important in some instances. The most significant in this case is the analysis of Nb and Zr in spinels, which is prone to CrAr interferences. However, by monitoring the nominal analyte intensities of $^{90}\text{Zr}$ ($I_{90}$) and $^{92}\text{Zr}$ ($I_{92}$), the production of $^{52}\text{Cr}^{40}\text{Ar}$ could be estimated as $^{52}\text{Cr}^{40}\text{Ar} = (I_{92} - AI_{90})/(1 - AB)$, where $A$ and $B$ are the instrumental mass bias-corrected isotope abundance ratios for $^{52}\text{Zr}^{90}\text{Zr}$ and $^{52}\text{Cr}/^{90}\text{Cr}$, respectively, thereby enabling the $^{55}\text{Cr}^{40}\text{Ar}$ contributions to $^{93}\text{Nb}$ and $^{90}\text{Cr}^{40}\text{Ar}$ to $^{90}\text{Zr}$ to be calculated and stripped accordingly. Because the corrections are
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<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Composition of bulk peridotites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Value is estimated from Nb (assuming Nb/Ta = 17), due to Ta contamination of the rock powder by the milling process. Element abundances are given in ppm ($\mu$g/g). Mineral proportions used in the calculated composition are given in Table 1, except for the addition of 1.6% of the calculated amphibole composition (see Appendix A) in the case of the 2905 laser estimate, and 0.2% amphibole in the 84-402 laser estimate, based on the 84-402 clinopyroxene composition and the 2905 amphi/cpx distribution coefficient (see text and caption to Fig. 7).
large, up to 85%, the resulting Nb and Zr analyses have considerable uncertainties and are provided for information only (Tables 3 and 4). A possible contribution from $^{29}$Si$^{14}$N to the intensity of the internal standard isotope $^{43}$Ca was found to be negligible based on no additional $^{43}$Ca signal being observable during ablation of semiconductor grade Si metal, despite yielding intensities of several million counts per second on $^{29}$Si.

4. Results

Our mineral, glass, and whole-rock analyses are reported in Tables 1, 3–5 and plotted in Figs. 2–4. The repeatability of duplicate and triplicate LA–ICP–MS analyses is generally excellent, as is agreement between laser ablation and solution ICP–MS data for orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, ranging over 8 orders of magnitude (Fig. 2). These data

### Table 6
Mean compositions of individual glass patches in lherzolite 2905, as determined from duplicate EMP and LA–ICP–MS analyses, and reconstructed amphibole composition, based on the average glass composition, cpx/melt partition coefficients from Chazot et al. [21] and microprobe analyses of clinopyroxene, olivine and spinel in glass patches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Glass patch #1</th>
<th>Glass patch #2</th>
<th>Glass patch #3</th>
<th>Glass patch #4</th>
<th>Mean glass</th>
<th>$D^{\text{cpx/melt}}$ (Chazot)</th>
<th>Calc. amph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>57.06</td>
<td>54.63</td>
<td>56.11</td>
<td>54.32</td>
<td>55.53</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(wt%)</td>
<td>54.63</td>
<td>56.11</td>
<td>54.32</td>
<td>55.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  | Sc             | Ti             | Al             | Fe              | Mg             | Ca              | Na              | K               | CaO            | Sr              | Zr              | Nb              | Cs              | Ba              | La              | Ce              | Nd              | Sm              | Eu              | Gd              | Dy              | Er              | Yb              | Hf              | Ta              | Pb              | Th              | U               |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| ppm              | 29.2           | 10950          | 14580          | 14090           | 13220          | 0.52            | 0.10            | 0.267           | 0.267          | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           | 0.267           |
illustrate the wide dynamic range, high sensitivity, matrix tolerance, and the accuracy of our laser technique in the absence of suitable standard reference materials for these minerals in the concentration ranges measured. On the other hand, agreement between laser and solution ICP–MS for olivine and spinel, while reasonable for the heavy rare-earth element (HREE) in olivine, is relatively poor for most other elements (Tables 3 and 4), for which consistently higher concentrations of incompatible trace elements are measured by the solution technique. This disparity arises from impurities in the minerals separated for solution analyses, despite our best efforts at obtaining ultra-clean mineral separates. The same problem is also responsible for the higher Ba values measured by the solution technique for both orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene (Fig. 2). We believe these results highlight the significant advantages of in situ laser sampling methods for avoiding problems associated with impurities in otherwise homogeneous mineral compositions. Nonetheless, we report comparatively high 85Rb values and degraded repeatability of some Ba measurements by laser ablation. In the case of Rb, these results reflect scavenging of Rb from the sample cell during ablation, a problem that has subsequently been overcome by modifications to the sample cell design.

Fig. 3 illustrates the relative distribution of REE between minerals in both peridotites. Clinopyroxene and the amphibole breakdown glass contain the greatest REE concentrations and thus define the pattern of the bulk rock. Orthopyroxene and olivine are LREE depleted with concentrations 2 to > 4 orders of magnitude lower than clinopyroxene and amphibole. These results are comparable to those found in earlier studies on spinel peridotites [3,29]. REE data for chrome spinels are not shown on this diagram as laser ablation measurements reveal that the concentrations are below detection limits (sub-ppb levels). The higher concentrations of REE reported for the solution chemistry analyses of spinels are considered to be compromised by the presence of trace silicate impurities in the opaque spinel separates.

Relative concentrations of non-rare-earth, incompatible elements are shown in Fig. 4. As with the REE, clinopyroxene and amphibole breakdown glass have the highest concentrations of most of these elements and olivine the lowest, with orthopyroxene falling in between. However, Li is distributed sub-
Fig. 4. Mantle-normalized diagram for the non-rare-earth incompatible elements in bulk-rock peridotites and their minerals. **Upper**: sample 2905, a LREE-depleted, fertile lherzolite. **Lower**: 84-402, a LREE-enriched, harzburgite. The elements are arranged in order of increasing incompatibility during mantle melting from right to left, as defined from studies of MORB and OIB exceptions are Sr and P, which would normally be plotted between Ta and Zr. Element abundances are normalized to the primitive mantle values of McDonough and Sun.

**Olv** = olivine; **Opx** = orthopyroxene; **Cpx** = clinopyroxene; **Glass** = amphibole breakdown glass; **Sp** = spinel.

5. Mass balance

Table 5 compares measured bulk-rock peridotite compositions with those calculated from the mineral phases as determined by both solution and laser ICP-MS. Many of the highly incompatible trace elements show significant deficiencies in the lherzolite if the data for the amphibole breakdown products are excluded. These deficiencies amount to more than 60% of the whole-rock budgets of Ba, Nb, Ta, Th and U, and smaller but still significant shortfalls for the LREE and Sr (Fig. 5). Most moderately incompatible trace elements balance to within 5% of the measured bulk peridotite element concentrations.

Inclusion of amphibole breakdown products in the mass balance for the lherzolite requires an accurate estimate of their modal abundance. The occurrence of several individual amphibole breakdown patches with dimensions on the order of 1–2 mm, in 3 separate thin sections, suggests a modal abundance of ~1 vol%. An estimated amphibole modal abundance of ~1.6 wt% is derived by minimizing the residual sum of squares of the trace-element mass balance. This amount of amphibole produces an excellent mass balance for all the incompatible elements except for Th, U which remain under budgeted by ~50% (Fig. 5). We believe this is an acceptable outcome given the low concentrations of these elements in the bulk rock (i.e., 4.1 ppb U and 12 ppb Th).

A similar pattern of deficiencies observed in the element mass balance for the harzburgite leads us to believe that the glass and crystallites seen distributed on grain boundaries have bulk compositions similar to the amphibole breakdown patches observed in the lherzolite. Using partition coefficients between the amphibole breakdown products and clinopyroxene in the lherzolite, we are able to calculate a provisional amphibole composition for the harzburgite based on its clinopyroxene composition (see Appendix A). From this we obtain a good mass balance for the harzburgite with only 0.2 wt% of the amphibole component (Fig. 5), consistent with the small volume of the grain boundary impurities in this sample.
Fig. 6 illustrates the fractional contribution of each mineral to the bulk-rock elemental inventory. Clinopyroxene is the dominant host for most incompatible trace elements in the spinel peridotite facies of the upper mantle. When present, however, amphibole is the most important host for Ba, Nb and Ta.

Fig. 5. Mass-balance results for the harzburgite (84-402) and lherzolite (2905), showing the net shortfall or surplus of each element calculated from the sum of the constituent minerals as compared to their measured bulk rock abundances. Panel (D) illustrates the improved mass-balance result for 84-402 by adding 0.2 wt% of an appropriate amphibole composition estimated for this sample, based on the 84-402 clinopyroxene composition and amphibole/clinopyroxene distribution coefficients determined from sample 2905.
Fig. 6. Relative contributions of the individual mineral phases to the trace-element budgets for harzburgite 84–402 and lherzolite 2905. The result for sample 84–402 includes 0.2 wt% of a calculated equilibrium amphibole composition, as in panel (D) of Fig. 5. This figure illustrates the dominant role of clinopyroxene as host for the incompatible trace elements in the spinel peridotite facies of the upper mantle, as well as the significance of amphibole as a host for Ba, Nb and Ta. Orthopyroxene has an increasingly important role as a trace-element host as the peridotite composition becomes more refractory (cf. 84–402 vs. 2905), particularly for Ti and the more moderately incompatible elements.

6. Discussion

6.1. The significance of amphibole

The amphibole breakdown products in lherzolite 2905 include the exsolution of a vapor phase. We suggest that vapor bubble nucleation and growth promotes hydraulic injection of the silicate melt and vapor along grain boundaries, and the development of fluid and melt inclusion trails through fractured mineral grains (as observed in harzburgite 84–402). These phenomena have been described by Yaxley et al. [19] for other SE Australian peridotites, who concluded that the glasses must have been produced in the xenoliths immediately before or shortly after entrainment of the xenoliths in their host basalts. Hence, grain boundaries containing materials enriched in incompatible trace elements [31] may not reflect the original distribution of these elements under upper-mantle conditions.

Given this observation, the data presented here demonstrate two simple but significant points: (1) amphibole (now present as glass patches and daughter crystals) plays an important role, even at sub-per cent mode levels, in accounting for the budget of the highly incompatible elements in these peridotites, particularly Ba, Nb and Ta; and (2) the budgets of the incompatible trace elements can be accounted for by the solid mineral phases present at upper-mantle conditions, that is, within the crystal structures of olivine, spinel, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene and amphibole. Grain boundaries, fluid inclusions and exotic accessory phases, such as rutile or ilmenite, are not required repositories.

Our results call into question the general importance of minute Nb- and Ta-rich ilmenite and rutile crystals, which have been observed on spinel surfaces in some peridotite xenoliths by Bodinier et al. [12] and in our own studies of Tanzanian peridotite xenoliths (unpublished results). We have examined the samples investigated here, as well as other SE Australian peridotites by optical microscopy, back-scattered electron imaging and electron microprobe spectrometry. There is no evidence rutile rims on spinels in these samples. Thus, although rutile is an important host for HFSE in some metasomatized xenoliths, it is not ubiquitous and does not account for the common Nb mass-balance problem observed in peridotites by ourselves and others [32].

Our results also preclude that olivine or orthopyroxene are significant repositories for Nb and Ta, or other highly incompatible trace elements (Th, U, Rb, and Ba and the LREE) in the upper mantle as suggested by Sun and Kerrich [33].
The compositions of the amphibole breakdown glasses observed here are similar to those observed in peridotite xenoliths worldwide [22]. These are rich in Si and Al and have major-element compositions [22]... are more akin to continental than to oceanic crust.

However, the trace-element compositions of our glasses are unlike continental crust, being strongly enriched in Nb relative to La, which is the antithesis of the fractionation observed in continental crust [34]. We conclude, in concurrence with Yaxley et al. [19], that breakdown of hydrous phases is a viable model for the origin of some xenolithic glasses and show below that trace-element determinations can be a diagnostic tool for evaluating the origin and significance of these glasses.

6.2. Subsolidus partitioning

The data reported here constitute one of the most comprehensive sets of mineral partitioning data for peridotites yet reported. As such, they offer new insights into subsolidus partitioning of trace elements under upper-mantle conditions. They also offer a means of evaluating the reliability of using subsolidus partition coefficients to infer crystal–liquid $D$-values, which is commonly done for minerals in which the latter are difficult to determine experimentally (e.g., $D_{\text{liq}}$, $D_{\text{liq}}$, $D_{\text{liq}}$).

Fig. 7 shows that orthopyroxene–clinopyroxene and olivine–clinopyroxene partition coefficients are remarkably similar in both peridotites. Subtle, but significant differences in partition coefficients exist for Nb and Ti: in the harzburgite $D_{\text{opx}}/<D_{\text{liq}}$, and $D_{\text{opx}}/<D_{\text{liq}}$ are higher by a factor of 1.8 compared to the lherzolite. Spinel/clinopyroxene partition coefficients (not shown) are more variable, which may reflect crystal chemical controls on partitioning given the different spinel chemistries in the two samples (Tables 1, 3 and 4). "Amphibole"/clinopyroxene partition coefficients are very high for Nb (46), Ta (14) and particularly Ba (up to 2600), whereas most other elements have partition coefficients < 10 and typically near 1 (Fig. 7). This pattern of element partitioning is similar to that of amphibole/clinopyroxene pairs measured by ion microprobe and by solution–ICP–MS for mantle peridotites from a variety of settings [11,21,35–38]. The close agreement between our data and these earlier results supports our, and others' contention that these glasses are amphibole breakdown products [3,19].

In order to use subsolidus partition coefficients to infer crystal–liquid partition coefficients one must establish how sensitive subsolidus partitioning is to changing $P$, $T$, bulk composition and metamorphic facies (which changes the compositions of coexisting minerals). Our remaining discussion will focus on orthopyroxene–clinopyroxene partitioning, as few comparative data are available for the other minerals.

For the two samples measured here, the phase assemblage is identical (i.e., ol, opx, cpx, sp and (minor) amph), $P$ and $T$ of equilibration are similar, but bulk compositions, and attendant mineral compositions, differ significantly. As shown above, the relative partitioning behavior of most incompatible elements (except Nb and Ti) appears to be identical between the two samples, implying that bulk compositional differences, and their attendant mineral compositional changes, play only a minor role in the subsolidus partitioning of these elements. However, in the more refractory peridotite, Ti is preferentially partitioned into the olivine and orthopyroxene over clinopyroxene (Fig. 7).

To evaluate the effects of the intensive variables on trace-element partitioning, we compare our results to data from the literature. Fig. 8 shows opx/clinopyroxene partition coefficients for a range of elements plotted against equilibration temperature. The LREE, as exemplified by Ce, show a large range in $D_{\text{opx}/\text{cpx}}$ (factor of 30), which correlates with reciprocal equilibrium temperature. The HREE (e.g., Yb), show a narrower range of $D_{\text{opx}/\text{cpx}}$ (factor of 3), which also correlates with equilibrium temperature. Partition coefficients for Sr (not shown) and Zr, a HFSE, vary by factors of 2–3 and also correlate with reciprocal temperature. The trends of the limited $D_{\text{opx}/\text{cpx}}$ data for garnet peridotites are unclear, and more data are needed before their significance can be evaluated. The correlations seen here likely reflect substitution of these elements on to the M2 site in pyroxenes [29,44], the same site in which Ca is sited.

In contrast to the above elements, $D_{\text{opx}/\text{cpx}}$ for Ti, Nb and V vary by up to an order of magnitude and do not appear to be a simple function of temperature.
(Fig. 8). These elements have smaller radii (e.g., 0.6–0.64 Å for octahedral coordination, cf. > 0.7 Å for Zr and the REE) and may substitute into multiple sites in pyroxenes and/or (for V) be controlled by variations in oxygen fugacity. Interestingly, spinel-facies peridotites have $D_{\text{opx/cpx}}$ values for Nb and Ti...
that are generally lower than those of garnet-facies peridotites. Although it will be necessary to verify these observations with additional data, they suggest that pressure, and the associated changes in crystal chemistry accompanying changing mineralogy/metamorphic facies, may also play a role in subsolidus partitioning of some trace elements.

Fig. 8. $1/T(°C)$ vs. $\ln D_{\text{opx}}^{\text{circles}}$ for Ce, Yb, Ti, Zr, V and Nb in spinel- (circles) and garnet-facies peridotites (triangles). Temperatures are derived from two calibrations of the two-pyroxene thermometer [17,18], thus two points are plotted for each sample (in some cases the two temperatures coincide). REE partitioning data are from both bulk mineral [20,35,39] and in situ methods (this study and [40]). Ti data are either by electron microprobe studies in which attention was paid to obtaining high-quality analyses [10,41,42] or from LA–ICP–MS (this study). V data from electron microprobe [41,42] or LA–ICP–MS (this study). Zr and Nb data are from ion microprobe [40], solution ICP–MS [35,39] and LA–ICP–MS (this study). Data for peridotites with multiple generations of minerals were omitted (e.g., [11,43]).
The above observations show that changing \( T \), and possibly \( P \) and phase assemblage exert controls on the subsolidus partitioning of incompatible trace elements in the upper mantle, as shown in earlier studies \([11,45]\). This does not bode well for the derivation of crystal–liquid partition coefficients from solid–solid \( D \) values. Moreover, this study highlights the need for further systematic, high-precision, trace-element determinations for minerals in natural peridotites and experimental charges in order to evaluate the relative effects of \( T \), \( P \), \( f_{O_2} \), and phase composition on subsolidus partitioning.

7. Conclusions

We have determined the concentrations of major elements and 27 trace elements in coexisting spinel, olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene from two spinel peridotite xenoliths from SE Australia: one a fertile lherzolite, the second a refractory harzburgite. From these data we draw the following conclusions:

1. In situ laser ablation analyses compare well with analyses made on dissolved, ultra-pure clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene separates. Moreover, in situ analyses of olivine and spinel show systematically lower incompatible-element abundances than the solution chemistry analyses, reflecting impurities in the mineral separates used in the latter analyses. These impurities may be in the form of \( \mu \)g quantities of other minerals such as clinopyroxene, or thin films of glass in cracks and on grain boundaries [see item 2, below].

2. Mass balance is achieved for the highly incompatible trace elements only with inclusion of data for rare precursor amphibole, now present as pockets of alkali-, alumina- and silica-rich glass containing euhedral olivine, clinopyroxene, and spinel daughter crystals. The glass in these pockets is enriched in incompatible trace elements, particularly Ba, Nb and Ta, reflecting the preferential partitioning of these elements into amphibole at upper-mantle conditions.

3. With inclusion of the former amphibole, the non-volatile incompatible elements are quantitatively accounted for in the mineral phases under upper-mantle conditions — no significant concentrations of these elements are on grain boundaries or in fluid inclusions, nor are exotic accessory minerals required hosts for elements such as Nb and Ta.

4. Orthopyroxene–clinopyroxene partition coefficients for incompatible elements measured in this study are the same in the two samples (except for Ti), implying that bulk composition plays little role in controlling subsolidus partitioning. However, the large range in literature values of \( D_{\text{opx/cpx}}^{\text{opx/cpx}} \) for many incompatible elements demonstrates that \( T \) and \( P \), and possibly phase assemblage have significant effects on subsolidus partitioning, suggesting caution in applying these \( D \)-values to infer crystal–liquid \( D \)-values.
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Appendix A

The major- and incompatible trace-element compositions of former amphibole were estimated by combining the glass compositions with those of the secondary phases according to the phase proportions for the amphibole breakdown reaction reported by Chazot et al. \([21]\), see Table 6. For this calculation, the trace-element composition of the secondary clinopyroxene was estimated from cpx–melt partition coefficients (the minerals were too small to
measure directly); the trace-element contributions of olivine and spinel are assumed to be zero. Concentrations of most highly incompatible trace elements in the reconstituted amphiboles are simply ~40% of the measured glass concentrations.
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