Documentaries represent one of the main media by which scientific information reaches the general public. For this assignment, you’ll be looking at a series of three different TV documentaries that reflect different approaches and techniques used to uncover the life and death of different dinosaurs. As it happens the three documentaries are all about theropods (carnivorous dinosaurs): I would have liked to have included some herbivorous dinosaurs in this, but there aren’t as many good documentaries about them yet!

These videos are available for watching via Dial Access in the NonPrint Media lab in the basement of Hornbake Library during the week of Oct. 11-17. (Dial Access is a program by which the videos are shown on a continuous basis during open hours: see http://www.lib.umd.edu/NPRINT/dialaccess.html for more details.)

The first documentary is about half an hour long; the other two are each about one hour long. Each has a particular different emphasis, focusing on different particular dinosaurs. The documentaries in question are:

- *The Mystery Dinosaur*. (Brave New Pictures) 2006. Broadcast occasionally on The Science Channel
- “Great American Predator”, *Monsters Resurrected* (Discovery) 2010

For each documentary, watch the video and answer the questions as you go along. You may want to see them more than once if you miss part of the question.
This documentary is of different scale than the other two. This was done as a one-off project by a small production company rather than part of a series supported by a big network (although it has been shown on The Science Channel, the Discovery Channel, and others).

The first half of this documentary concerns field work and the discovery of the specimen. It gives you a feel for some aspect of field collection, but isn’t really germane to questions for this project. So just relax and watch until the specimen (called “Jane”) gets back to the Burpee Museum of Natural History (a little museum in Rockford, Illinois) [that’s about 12 minutes or so into the program].

1) So what is the “mystery”? That is, what question (really “pair of alternative hypotheses”) is the main debate about the specimen “Jane”? (Circle the correct answer):
   a. Is Jane male or female?
   b. Was Jane primarily a hunter or a scavenger
   c. Was Jane an adult *Nanotyrannus*, or was “*Nanotyrannus*” just the juvenile stage of *Tyrannosaurus*?
   d. Did Jane die from disease, or was it killed by another dinosaur.

2) What is the main purpose(s) for making hard plastic casts (duplicates) of the individual bones of Jane?

3) Most of Jane’s bones were in articulation (still joined together). What does that suggest about the time between its death and the burial of its skeleton? What about the place (environment) in which it died?

4) A series of features make Jane (or Jane plus the Cleveland “*Nanotyrannus*”) skulls unique compared to other tyrannosaurs. In the list below, put a check or X next to those features which are mentioned that make *Jane*”*Nanotyrannus*” distinct:

- Foramen (hole) in the quadratojugal
- Squamosal/quadratojugal projection into infratemporal fenestra
- Fused nasals
- Scapular blade broader
- Higher tooth count (17 in dentary as opposed to 13 or less)
- Presence of small teeth
Jane was discovered (and this documentary filmed) at the same time Greg Erickson was developing his technique for determining how old individual dinosaurs were. He was able to sample Jane for comparison with his other tyrannosaurs.

5) According to Erickson, how old was Jane at the time of its death?

6) Does Jane’s age/size relationship plot with the *Tyrannosaurus rex* growth curve, or does it indicate a totally different growth pattern?

As part of ongoing research, the Jane team was able to re-evaluate the features listed in question 13. Many of them turn out to be consistent with ontogenetic (that is, growth-related) changes from juvenile to adulthood.

7) After the re-evaluation, which of the characteristics from question 13 remains as a possible distinctive trait unique to Jane and the Cleveland “*Nanotyrannus*” skull?

Lawrence Witmer has been conducting a series of CT scans of the skulls of many dinosaurs, including the Cleveland skull.

8) Did Witmer’s study show that the *Nanotyrannus* skulls were really juvenile *Tyrannosaurus rex*? If not, what did they find?
While the other two documentaries focused on one of the larger carnivorous dinosaurs of its environment, this one looks at a little one: the aptly-named *Microraptor*.

Extra Credit: What unusual **new** feature was first discovered in *Microraptor*?

9) What was the paleoenvironment of Liaoning 130 million years ago when *Microraptor* and the other fossils were formed?

10) What sort of material formed the sediment that allowed the wonderful preservation of the Liaoning fossils?

The documentary discusses the work of John Ostrom in the 1960s that modernized the study of dinosaurs and connected dinosaurs and birds.

11) Which two fossil genera were the main ones that Ostrom used in connecting birds and dinosaurs? (Circle the two names)

- Allosaurus
- Archaeopteryx
- Deinonychus
- Hesperornis
- Ichthyornis
- Microraptor
- Nanotyrannus
- Velociraptor

12) As they discuss in the documentary, what very important feature was left off of the raptors of *Jurassic Park*?

13) The documentary mentions that feathers can have important functions other than for flight. Name two of the functions they mention, with extra credit for a third.

14) According to the video, what is the function of **asymmetrical** feathers?
The video discusses the work of Ken Dial (about which we will see more of in class).

15) True or False: Ken Dial showed that baby birds use powered wing flaps to run up walls, but that their wings were only used as passive parachutes (that is, they were not flapped) when they jumped off of heights?

[ True  |  False ] (Circle the correct answer)

The program spends some time examine the creation of two alternative models of *Microraptor*. Paleontologists Mark Norell and Xu Xing and artist Jason Brougham sculpt one model from measurements of many individuals; paleontologists Larry Martin and David Burnham prepared the second one from casts of a single specimen. These models are then evaluated by anatomists and paleontologists Farrish Jenkins and Steve Gatesy.

16) What major flaw did Jenkins and Gatesy find in the Martin & Burnham model?

The latter portion of the video concerns the possible use of long leg feathers in flight. (In class I will discuss my own hypothesis, which is not one of the ones they examined!) Eventually they have a poseable life restoration for use in the MIT wind tunnel. Below is a set of different positions they tried in the order they try them. For each, indicate the type of gliding result they found:

17) Legs relatively straight down:

18) Legs and feet tucked up against the body:

19) Biplane model (with metatarsal feathers sticking out laterally):

20) Biplane model, but with the legs held more forward:

21) Xu Xing’s model: legs held backwards:
This series has been shown in fits and starts on Discovery: in fact, I don’t know that they ever aired this one! It is on the DVD set, though. *Monsters Resurrected* takes one particular species of ancient predatory animal and looks at how we interpret its anatomy, behavior, and so forth. The animal in question here is *Acrocanthosaurus*, a mid-Cretaceous allosauroid carnivore.

After some introductory remarks, we begin by looking at footprints found at the Paluxy River near Dallas, Texas. 22) Today this is a river in sparse Texas woodlands. What was the environment of this region at the time the sediment was laid down and the fossils were formed?

23) What does the steep angle of the toes of the *Acrocanthosaurus* tracks indicate?

Jim Farlow examines the distribution of the tracks of *Acrocanthosaurus* and *Paluxysaurus*.
24) How close to each other were the two dinosaurs, based on the physical position of the footprints?

Phil Currie, Tom Holtz & Phil Currie compare the predatory powers of *Acrocanthosaurus* and *Tyrannosaurus*.
25) Which of these two had the more powerful bite?

26) Which of the two had to deal with smarter, better armed prey?

27) Which of these had steak knife-like teeth, used like scissors?

28) What features make the arms of *Acrocanthosaurus* different from *Tyrannosaurus*? List one, with an extra credit for a second difference.
Phil Senter examines the position and range of motion of the arms of *Acrocanthosaurus*.

29) What does he discover about how useful its arms would have been in catching large-bodied prey (like *Paluxysaurus*)?

Jerry Harris explores the cervical vertebrae of *Acrocanthosaurus*.

30) What unusual feature of the neural spines did Harris find, and how did he think it might have been used?

Jim Farlow again examines the map of the tracks. He points out the missing left footprint in the *Acrocanthosaurus* trackway.

31) How does Farlow explain the missing left footprint?

32) According to Holtz, why do we not have good direct evidence for the reasons for the disappearance of *Acrocanthosaurus* and its kin?

33) According to Currie, why might the disappearance of giant sauropods have been a problem for *Acrocanthosaurus*?